The T-Intersection Metaphor: A Constitutional Primer

Imagine you're driving toward a T-intersection. There's a proper stop sign, and a solid rock wall straight ahead — you literally cannot go forward. You must stop and choose: turn left or turn right.

The U.S. Constitution creates exactly this for federal power. Every time the federal government acts, it must first stop at that intersection and make a choice about which road it's taking. Those two roads lead to completely different places, operate under completely different rules, and serve completely different purposes.

The Right Turn: Little Powers, Big Area

Turn right and you're on the road of **named, limited powers** — meant to operate across **the whole country.**

Members of Congress can coin money. Regulate trade between States. Declare war. Establish post offices. A specific list. The means used must be genuinely necessary and proper to those named purposes — nothing extra, nothing merely convenient.

This road covers 99% of the Constitution. It's where nearly all federal action was supposed to travel. The whole Union of States is the area. But the powers are deliberately small and specific — because the Founders never trusted concentrated unlimited authority, having just escaped it.

Little Powers. Big Area.

The Left Turn: Big Powers, Little Areas

Turn left and you're on a completely different road — the road of **unlimited, plenary authority**, but only within **tiny, specific geographic parcels.**

This is Clause 17. Within D.C. and properly ceded and accepted federal parcels, members of Congress can do essentially anything. No named-powers limitation. No 10th Amendment. No Republican Form of Government guarantee. Complete legislative discretion.

This road covers 1% of the Constitution. It serves a genuine purpose — somebody has to administer a national capital and military installations. But it was always meant to stay geographically tiny.

Big Powers. Little Areas.

Why the Intersection Matters So Much

Notice what this means: before anyone can legitimately discuss *how much* federal power applies in any situation, they first have to answer *which road is being used.*

Because the rules on each road are not just different — they're **opposite.**

On the right road, everything not named is forbidden. On the left road, everything not prohibited is allowed. **You cannot mix those standards.** You cannot use the left-road standard on the right road's area. Doing so produces exactly what we have today — unlimited authority claimed everywhere, when it was only ever legitimate in a few tiny places.

Talking about the extent of federal power before establishing which road is being used is ultimately pointless — because the standards are so opposing that any answer you get depends entirely on which road you're already assuming.

What Hamilton Did

Alexander Hamilton's particular genius — and it was a devious genius — was that he never even let anyone reach the T-intersection.

He blocked the approach road *before* it. By declining to differentiate federal power into its two paths at all, he never had to make the binary choice at the stop sign. He simply operated from the whole undivided pie of federal authority — quietly serving out the 1% left-road unlimited power to meet his purposes, without ever announcing that's what he was doing.

Nobody stopped him. Nobody demanded he return to the intersection and make the proper choice. And having gotten away with it once, the precedent was set.

What Marshall Did — And How It Grew

Chief Justice John Marshall took Hamilton's precedent and began building — not an overpass, not yet, but something that started far more modestly and grew incrementally over generations into something that eventually buried the intersection from view entirely.

1803 — Marshall chipped away part of the rock wall straight ahead at the T-intersection, regraded the embankment just enough, and laid a crude ground-level street forward. It was rough. It was narrow. But for the first time, traffic could move past the stop sign without making the required left or right choice. The intersection was still plainly visible. The stop sign still stood. But a new option had been quietly introduced — straight ahead — where the Constitution had never permitted one.

1819 — Marshall widened that street, added shoulders, improved the surface. It began to feel less like an improvised workaround and more like a legitimate road. More traffic moved onto it. Fewer travelers thought to question whether it should exist at all.

1821 — More lanes. Further extension. The Supremacy Clause sealed in as the mechanism connecting this forward road back to the appearance of constitutional legitimacy — giving the expanding roadway a legal foundation that looked solid from a distance, even as it carried travelers steadily toward a destination the Constitution had never authorized for the area being crossed.

Then, over subsequent decades, congressional acts, presidential actions, and court decisions kept adding to what Marshall had started. More lanes. Better pavement. The road grew wider and faster and more established with each passing generation.

By the Civil War era the construction had reached a different scale entirely — what had begun as a crude ground-level street past a regraded embankment had grown into something resembling an overpass, elevated infrastructure carrying the mainstream of federal constitutional traffic directly over the spot where the T-intersection had once been plainly visible to all.

By the early twentieth century — with the Federal Reserve Act, the explosion of federal agencies, the legal tender framework fully nationalized — the overpass had become a turnpike. Multiple lanes, high-speed, sound walls on both sides tall enough to block any view of the surrounding constitutional landscape. Jersey barriers preventing lateral movement. Wrong-way signs posted at every remaining off-ramp. Toll booths extracting compliance as the price of continued travel.

And crucially — as the overpass and then the turnpike were constructed over and around the original intersection, the T-intersection itself was not simply buried in place. It was shifted slightly to the side — the way off-ramps sit just to the side of a freeway rather than directly beneath it — close enough that the original constitutional requirement remains genuinely accessible, but displaced enough from the main flow of traffic that most travelers stream past without noticing it's there at all.

The Destination Marshall Was Always Building Toward

The appearance of going straight ahead was itself part of the design.

Marshall's road never actually went straight. It curved — gradually, almost imperceptibly at first, always bending leftward. Each decision extended the pavement a little further in the same curving direction. The destination Marshall was always building toward was the left-turn destination — the unlimited plenary authority of Clause 17, operative everywhere — which is precisely what Hamilton had wanted from the beginning, and what Hamilton himself had sought openly at the 1787 Constitutional Convention, been voted down, and was then forced to pursue through concealment.

A hard left turn, taken honestly and openly from the stop sign, would have been immediately recognizable for what it was. Everyone would have seen it. Everyone would have understood what was being claimed — unlimited plenary authority, operative throughout the whole Union — and the outcry would have ended the effort immediately.

Instead, the road appeared to go straight. Reasonable. Moderate. Simply following where the law naturally led. By the time the leftward curve became undeniable, travelers were already so far down the road, so surrounded by accumulated infrastructure, so accustomed to the turnpike as simply the way things were, that most couldn't remember where they'd started from — let alone see the intersection sitting just off to the side of the elevated roadway now carrying them.

Why This Simplifies Everything

Here is the payoff for anyone trying to understand two centuries of seemingly impenetrable legal history:

Every confusing Supreme Court decision. Every baffling expansion of federal authority. Every tortured argument about what "necessary and proper" means, or what the Commerce Clause covers, or whether the Supremacy Clause overrides State law in this instance or that one —

All of it happened on Marshall's road. All of it took place past the T-intersection, above and beyond it, assuming without examination that the unlimited left-road authority was legitimately present throughout the Union.

Which means all of it was built on the same original evasion — the approach road blocked before the intersection, the required stop never made, the required choice never honestly declared.

You don't need to understand the details of any particular court case to grasp what went wrong. You just need to understand that the intersection existed, that the stop was required, that the honest choice between two opposing roads had to be made before any discussion of the extent of federal power could even legitimately begin — and that it never was.

Every complicated legal argument downstream of that missed stop is downstream of the same evasion. The sound walls are impressive. The turnpike is well-paved. The traffic moves fast. But the road itself was never legitimate, because it was built past a constitutional requirement that was never honored.

The One Question That Cuts Through All of It

Once someone understands the T-intersection — the approach road blocked before it, the crude street that grew into a road that grew into an overpass that grew into a turnpike, the curve that always bent leftward toward the destination an honest left turn would have required openly claiming — they have a single diagnostic tool that cuts through every subsequent complexity:

"Which road was taken here — and was that road legitimate for this destination?"

Not what did the court say. Not what does precedent hold. Not which party voted for it or which President signed it.

Which road. And was it the right road for this destination.

That question couldn't be answered honestly in Hamilton's day. It couldn't be answered honestly through any of Marshall's decisions. It cannot be answered honestly now — because the forward road, for all its lanes and sound walls and accumulated doctrine, was built past a stop sign that was never honored, curving always toward a destination that was only ever legitimate in a few small, specific, geographically bounded places.

What Remains

The T-intersection still exists — sitting just off to the side of the turnpike that was built past it and eventually over it, close enough to reach, displaced just enough from the main flow of traffic that most travelers stream by without noticing it's there.

The stop sign still stands. The right-turn road — named powers, necessary and proper means, operative throughout the whole Union of States — remains exactly where the Constitution placed it. Unchanged. Available. Waiting for enough travelers to notice the off-ramp, leave the turnpike, and return to the intersection where the honest choice was always supposed to be made.

The Constitution wasn't broken. The original intersection wasn't demolished. It was blocked, bypassed, built over, and shifted just enough to the side to keep it out of the main flow of traffic — for long enough that most people forgot it was ever there.

Knowing it's there is what makes it visible again. And an intersection that can be seen can be returned to.

March 6, 2026 Claude AI retelling of the ROAR-Path T-Intersection metaphor found in Chapter 25 of *The Case Against One Hundred And One-Percent Government*.

Take the ROAR-Path Skill text file, for a test run, on a Claude.ai Project, with its four-book back up. Five public domain text files freely available at: **www.PatriotCorps.org**