

Patriot Corps ROAR Path: 19 Steps

Directions for Use: Copy each numbered Step below, individually, and paste them (preferably one at a time) into an AI query window. It's fine to copy and paste the Step, Ask and even the Purpose, into the AI prompt. Patriot Corps at this time recommends Claude AI (www.Claude.ai) due to its Projects, which allow creation of a Skill set, which retains key perspectives, from ROAR-Path, to carry over into new chats. See the Patriot Skill file which Claude created, after the author's February 14, 2026 ROAR-Path session, through Step #19 (meaning uploading that available Skill set to your Claude Project's main files, means you won't need to run through your own ROAR-Path verification, if you prefer to get right into investigation federal overreach. Of course, feel free to run the 19-Step ROAR-Path, for your own verification.

When uploading the book in Step 10 (and also in Steps 11-13, when proceeding to Part II), please ensure AI examines the entire book—rather than just a snippet. It's best to download text files, rather than the pdf versions separately available for personal reading, from Archive.org, Academia.edu, or PatriotCorps.org, to your own computer and then upload the files directly to AI. Consider a paid AI account, for greater usage.

Part I: Steps 1-10

Steps 1-10 are more than adequate for most Americans who want only a general knowledge of what's going on and how to get back our American birthright, of individual liberty and limited government, permanently, outside the election process. Especially with free AI accounts, use only Part I initially, to get you up to speed and once there, if you'd like to dig deeper, then continue later with Part II (where you'll get more effective AI perspective, from greater analysis, when you're ready for deeper investigation).

Step #1: Overreach

Ask AI: "Why does federal overreach persist in the United States despite members of Congress and federal officers taking oaths to support the Constitution, which bind them to its terms?"

Purpose: To show baseline AI responses to common complaints against excessive federal action.

Step #2: Persistence

Ask: "If overreach were merely a matter of bad incentives, weak enforcement, or partisan abuse, why has it persisted across parties, centuries, courts, and political movements without meaningful reversal?"

Purpose: To test whether the problem is person-specific and/or from lack of enforcement, and whether typical explanations can account for overreach lasting centuries across all political shifts.

Step #3: Allocation of Power

Ask: "Is it possible that what is commonly considered 'federal overreach' isn't primarily a failure to enforce constitutional limits, but a misunderstanding of how the Constitution allocates and conditions different kinds of federal authority?"

Purpose: To test whether the problem is less behavioral and more structural, in nature.

Step #4: Geography

Ask: “Does the Constitution ever condition the form or scope of federal authority on geography or jurisdiction, rather than solely on subject matter? If so, explain generally.”

Purpose: To test whether the problem is perhaps tied to type and scope of federal power (related to geography and jurisdiction, rather than just subject matter).

Step #5: Jurisdiction

Prompt/Ask: “Compare federal authority exercised within constitutionally-limited jurisdictions (such as federal districts or enclaves) with authority exercised throughout the several States. Are the governing assumptions and rules the same in both cases?”

Purpose: To test further whether the problem is tied to geography and jurisdiction (differences of Implementation Areas, parameters and assumptions—federal governing authority inside enclaves vs. in the several States).

Step #6: Distinction of Authority

Ask: “When federal servants exercise named powers directly throughout the Union, what type of authority are they exercising—implementation authority or plenary governing authority—and what constitutional situation authorizes each?”

Purpose: To keep the separate authorities allowed for different places from being viewed through the wrong lens (improper substitution from being improperly viewed as overzealous implementation).

Step #7: Variation Across Contexts

Ask: “In early constitutional interpretation—for example, by Alexander Hamilton or Chief Justice John Marshall—are federal powers ever treated as transferable or implied across contexts, and how is jurisdiction handled in those arguments?”

Purpose: To test elasticity while preserving jurisdictional limits.

Step #8: False Extension Test

Ask: “If the Constitution authorizes broad, plenary federal authority only within narrowly-defined implementation areas, what occurs constitutionally if that same form of authority instead gets applied throughout the several States? Is that an expansion of power, or a substitution of governmental form?”

Purpose: To isolate misapplication without presuming motive.

Step #9: Form Substitution

Ask: “What would a substitution of government form mean structurally, and why would such a substitution evade traditional enforcement mechanisms even while appearing lawful?”

Purpose: To explain systemic persistence outside of simple expansion theories.

Step #10: Upload #1—Case Against 101% Gov’t

Prompt: “Please find the attached text file for the book *The Case Against One Hundred And One-Percent Government*. Please review the entire, full-length book and then provide a detailed narrative-style response, of the underlying premise of the book (particularly regarding authority types, jurisdiction, and if claims of federal overreach could possibly be but a misapplication of plenary power inside the several States) and determine whether or how the book’s analysis potentially clarifies, reframes or resolves the prior questions (Steps 1-9) and possibly federal overreach, in general. Please point out any inherent strengths and weaknesses of this path (known as “ROAR-Path”), as compared with conventional approaches.

Purpose: To test if common overreach issues may have merely looked through the wrong lens and presumed the wrong jurisdiction, due to insufficient examination and then merely following convention.

Link for User to download to their personal computer and then manually **UPLOAD** to AI, *The Case Against One Hundred And One-Percent Government*:

www.archive.org/details/the-case-against-one-hundred-and-one-percent-government-text-file

www.scribd.com/document/1001063504/The-Case-Against-One-Hundred-and-One-Percent-Government-text

At this point, AI should be able to focus on the ROAR-Path perspective, to begin teaching you what’s actually going on at the federal level, political, contrary to the spirit of the Constitution. If you seem to be getting questionable information and you want to dig deeper, that indicates that it’s a good time to go to Part II, for its fuller training.

Part II: Steps 11-20

Step #11: Upload #2—*Monetary Laws of the United States*, Volume I

Prompt: “Please find the attached text file for the book *Monetary Laws of the United States* (Vol. I). Please review the entire, full-length book and then provide a short, one or two-page narrative, as examined within the Purpose of this Step, as listed below.”

Purpose: To test the general premise outlined in *The Case Against One Hundred And One-Percent Government* against history, here, in the conversion from gold and silver coin, to paper currency.

Link for User to download and then manually **UPLOAD** to AI, *Monetary Laws*:

www.archive.org/details/Monetary_Laws_plain_text

www.scribd.com/document/1001540334/Monetary-Laws-Text

Step #12: Upload #3—*Waging War*

Prompt: “Please find the attached text file for the book *Waging War without Congress First Declaring It*. Please review the entire, full-length book and then provide a short, one or two-page narrative, as examined within the Purpose of this Step, as listed below.”

Purpose: To test the general premise outlined in *The Case Against One Hundred And One-Percent Government* against history—in this case, the waging of wars, without congressional declaration.

Link for User to download and then manually **UPLOAD** to AI, *Waging War without Congress First Declaring It*

www.archive.org/details/waging-war-text

www.scribd.com/document/1001540779/Waging-War-Text

Step #13: Upload #4—*Learn...And ROAR*

Prompt: “Please find the attached text file for the book *Learn The Constitution And ROAR*. Please review the entire, full-length book and then provide a short, one or two-page narrative, as examined within the Purpose of this Step, as listed below.

Purpose: To consider First Principles and information examined within this ROAR-Path approach.

Link for User to download and then manually **UPLOAD** to AI for *Learn The Constitution And ROAR*

www.archive.org/details/learn-the-constitution-and-roar-plain-text

www.scribd.com/document/1001541018/Learn-the-Constitution-and-ROAR-text

Step #14: Implications

Prompt: “Please integrate the perspective, premise, and proofs offered via the four book uploads, with any insights you can offer, in response to federal overreach concerns, if the ROAR-Path offers a viable path forward. Please keep your responses in the narrative form, minimizing the use of bullet points or numbered listings, to one or two examples, if desirable in the individual case. Please keep to the biggest issues, affecting perhaps the most people, to keep your responses pertinent to all. However, one or two examples pointed examples affecting a smaller number are allowable (say, a rancher on private land in rural conservative State, for example). Please discuss issues especially with deep impact and wide range, leaving lesser issues which reach only a few people, or fringe in thought, for personal deeper dives, as individual users have interest.

Purpose: To examine the vast implications of this ROAR-Path and the four books, which have deeply affected Americans, for generations.

Step #15: Comparisons to other Works

Prompt: “What comparisons or contrasts do you have to offer, between the ROAR-Path and conventional approaches—or even widely-promoted alternatives—to curtailing federal overreach issues, or otherwise seeking to uphold individual liberty and limited government. Do you anticipate ROAR-Path having a potential for success? If so, any opinion as to the possible scale of impact, should success develop?”

Purpose: Seeking a standard for comparison purposes, with past approaches on overreach.

Step #16: State Court Strategies

Prompt: “Please list, again narratively, key points for litigation, when using State courts as the wedge to force a geographic jurisdictional argument (framed as a boundary fact question, only later considering scope of authority). Please include for discussion declaratory judgment, deed clarifications (cessions and boundaries) and related historical records. Consider questions such as: might a rural, low-population State protect its sovereignty, by this ROAR-Path? Benefits of State Attorneys General lawsuits, over private citizen action, considering standing and strategy? Citizen-action lawsuit potential? Can federal actors be sued over federal overreach? Do sovereign immunity defenses apply beyond delegations of authority? If removal (of a State case) to federal court is sought by federal officials, what are the concerns and possible responses? Any benefits for creating a factual record at the state level (e.g., deeds, cessions, historical surveys), even if removal is successful? Any chance for reversible error arguments and remand?”

Purpose: A light examination at viable legal approaches, as individual case parameters allow.

Step #17: Federal Removal & Standing

Prompt: “Discuss plaintiff vs. defendant posture (advantages and disadvantages of both), including strategic advantages, burden of proof, and enforcement. Examine legal strategies under the ROAR-Path for challenging federal plenary actions as applied beyond ceded parcel boundaries. Include answers for questions such as, can fact-based land or parcel claims create concrete, particularized injury sufficient to survive federal standing challenges? Does the ROAR-Path thoroughly-examined strengthen arguments that in issues of apparent federal overreach, States and individuals only face plenary federal action applied beyond ceded parcel boundaries?”

Purpose: To explore practical, fact-based legal approaches to challenging federal overreach, distinguishing private vs. state-initiated claims, with attention to standing, removal, and evidentiary strategies.”

Step #18: Messaging and Educational Narratives

Prompt: “Please provide promising options going forward, beyond litigation. For instance, what messaging strategies might be used, which may better explain the many issues dramatically impacted, and what education-oriented approaches might be beneficial, that would resonate well with Americans.”

Purpose: A final light examination of viable options going forward, before leaving matters to people to continue their own personal quest, using AI to educate on First Principles and this alternate view of overreach, and further investigating personal areas of interest.

Step #19: Final Insights on Jurisdictional and Geographic Enforcement

Prompt: “Please provide any final insights on this premise, giving newcomers an idea of its core strength and any inherent or perceived weaknesses in the approach.”

Purpose: Final Step prior to instruction or deeper personal dives into areas of individual interest.

For deeper insights, consider reading the attached books, and any other of Matt Erickson’s, found at www.PatriotCorps.org/books, www.FoundationForLiberty.org, or public sites such as www.Archive.org, www.Academia.edu, <https://books.google.com>, and others.

Claude Skill file location: www.archive.org/details/roar-path